

Exhibit 1

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
1.	6/8/2022	Amanda B Gillooly	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration!</p> <p>Amanda Gillooly</p>
2.	6/8/2022	Tim Crowe	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Tim Crowe</p>
3.	6/8/2022	Alex Taylor	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Allowing continued violations threatens the health of our community. Air quality violations can't be so weak or poorly enforced so that they are a line item on a profit sheet. Fines for air quality must be substantial, punitive, and cost prohibitive. We need to breathe clean air.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
4.	6/8/2022	Jenna Flohr	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Clean air is a right of every human on this planet. US Steel has failed us every time, step up or get out.</p>
5.	6/8/2022	Tim Crowe	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>-Timothy P. Crowe</p>
6.	6/8/2022	Jesse Starcher	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>We live smack dab in the middle between 2 US Steel plants that are almost constant polluters. I moved here in 2014. Up to that point in my life, I had lived in 6 different cities in 4 separate states. None of them had pollution levels that were anywhere near what I've experienced living here in the Mon Valley.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
7.	6/8/2022	Jesse Starcher	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>We live smack dab in the middle between 2 US Steel plants that are almost constant polluters. I moved here in 2014. Up to that point in my life, I had lived in 6 different cities in 4 separate states. None of them had pollution levels that were anywhere near what I've experienced living here in the Mon Valley.</p>
8.	6/8/2022	Harry Hochheiser	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. The proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022, is inadequate. The decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will not necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
9.	6/8/2022	Abigail Ault	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>A. Ault</p>
10.	6/9/2022	Robert Havrilla	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I grew up in the immediate vicinity of the former USS Homestead Works and had to endure their pollution on a daily basis so I am aware of that company's reluctance to protect the environment. USS must be required to protect our environment!!</p> <p>Thank you, Robert Havrilla</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
11.	6/9/2022	Nick Milam	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>DEAR EPA, ACHD, AND U.S. STEEL REPRESENTATIVES. I AM WRITING TO YOU TO EXPRESS MY FEELINGS ABOUT THE PROPOSED CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN U.S. STEEL, THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) AND ALLEGHENY COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT (ACHD) PUBLISHED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON MAY 24, 2022. HERE ARE MY CONCERNS: IT IS NOT CLEAR FROM THE DECREE ITSELF THAT THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS WILL NECESSARILY YIELD ANY EMISSION REDUCTIONS OR OTHER BENEFITS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE. THE COURT SHOULD NOT APPROVE THE COA UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE BENEFITS THAT THEY EXPECT WILL RESULT FROM U.S. STEEL'S FULFILLMENT OF THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS. THE CONSENT ORDER AND AGREEMENT SHOULD NOT BE APPROVED UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE TANGIBLE BENEFITS THAT WILL ACCRUE TO THE COMMUNITIES CLOSELY AFFECTED BY POLLUTION FROM THE EDGAR THOMSON WORKS AS A RESULT OF THE SEP. THE COA FAILS TO DO THIS. THE COA DOES NOT PROVIDE A MECHANISM THAT WOULD ALLOW CITIZENS IN THE COMMUNITIES –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – THAT HAVE BEEN IMPACTED BY THE VIOLATIONS TO EASILY MONITOR THE PARTIES' COMPLIANCE WITH THE COA AND ANY BENEFITS THAT ACCRUE FROM THE COA. U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD SHOULD WORK TOGETHER TO PROVIDE EASILY-ACCESSIBLE UPDATES TO THE WORK BEING DONE PURSUANT TO THE COA.*</p>
12.	6/10/2022	Elina Zhang	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Elina Zhang</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
13.	6/16/2022	Brian MacWhinney	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>This consent degree is full of holes that USS Steel is sure to use to escape from the serious work of cleaning up the Clairton plant. At a minimum there should be strong fence line monitoring the the two oldest coke batteries should be shut down and removed. We should not have the whole county and its helath held hostage to the campny's failure to build a modern unit.</p>
14.	6/16/2022	Nicholas Kyriazi	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Nicholas Kyriazi</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
15.	6/16/2022	Nick Milam	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>DEAR EPA, ACHD, AND U.S. STEEL REPRESENTATIVES. I AM WRITING TO YOU TO EXPRESS MY FEELINGS ABOUT THE PROPOSED CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN U.S. STEEL, THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) AND ALLEGHENY COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT (ACHD) PUBLISHED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON MAY 24, 2022. HERE ARE MY CONCERNS: IT IS NOT CLEAR FROM THE DECREE ITSELF THAT THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS WILL NECESSARILY YIELD ANY EMISSION REDUCTIONS OR OTHER BENEFITS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE. THE COURT SHOULD NOT APPROVE THE COA UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE BENEFITS THAT THEY EXPECT WILL RESULT FROM U.S. STEEL'S FULFILLMENT OF THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS. THE CONSENT ORDER AND AGREEMENT SHOULD NOT BE APPROVED UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE TANGIBLE BENEFITS THAT WILL ACCRUE TO THE COMMUNITIES CLOSELY AFFECTED BY POLLUTION FROM THE EDGAR THOMSON WORKS AS A RESULT OF THE SEP. THE COA FAILS TO DO THIS. THE COA DOES NOT PROVIDE A MECHANISM THAT WOULD ALLOW CITIZENS IN THE COMMUNITIES –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – THAT HAVE BEEN IMPACTED BY THE VIOLATIONS TO EASILY MONITOR THE PARTIES' COMPLIANCE WITH THE COA AND ANY BENEFITS THAT ACCRUE FROM THE COA. U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD SHOULD WORK TOGETHER TO PROVIDE EASILY-ACCESSIBLE UPDATES TO THE WORK BEING DONE PURSUANT TO THE COA.*</p>
16.	6/16/2022	Ryan Walsh	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Before moving to Pittsburgh in 2016, I have [REDACTED] I've recorded the times I have needed to use this [REDACTED] and tracked them against scientific data that shows extremely high air pollution emissions from the Edgar Thompson plant and other Mon Valley polluters. Enough is enough. Everyone should have the right to breathe. Please regulate more aggressively.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
17.	6/16/2022	Kathleen Krebs	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>EXTREMELY CONCERNED THAT THE COUNTY WOULD RECEIVE A PORTION OF PENALTY FEE. OUR COUNTY EXECUTIVE HAS DEMONSTRATED A LACK OF SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY, ENVIRONMENTAL LEADERSHIP AND AN ALL TO FREQUENT ALIGNMENT WITH INDUSTRY. PLEASE RECONSIDER!</p>
18.	6/16/2022	Chris Neuwirth	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I am tired of officials charged with safeguarding my and my family's health not ensuring that polluters reduce emissions.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
19.	6/16/2022	Jeremy Brewer	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. STEEL REPRESENTATIVES,</p> <p>I am writing to you to express my concerns about the proposed consent decree between US Steel, the EPA, and Allegheny County Health Department published for public comment on May 24, 2022:</p> <p>(1) It is not clear from the decree itself that the compliance requirements will result in emission reductions or benefits to public health. The court should not approve the COA unless there are specific benefits outlined in the COA that are expected as a result of US Steel's compliance. In addition, compliance should be more strict -- it took years to see any progress on this issue at all. If US Steel will not comply with emissions standards, larger fines need to be swiftly enforced.</p> <p>(2) The proposed use of fines does not benefit the communities most affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson Works. Furthermore, the affected communities have no way to monitor compliance; compliance should be more transparent with frequent updates on progress that are readily accessible to all public parties that are interested.</p> <p>Thank you, Jeremy Brewer</p>
20.	6/16/2022	Robert Havrilla	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Robert Havrilla</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
21.	6/17/2022	Vikki Zilonis	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>The air quality is so bad here in Homeville, that you cant even keep your windows open. It smells like rotten eggs all the time!</p>
22.	6/16/2022	BARBARA PACE	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>It's at least ten years that I have been involved with our regions pollution problems. I moved into the Borough of Emsworth which sits across from Neville Island and watched my elderly father who had been dancing in his early [REDACTED] drastically deteriorated into [REDACTED]. We Local residents formed "Allegheny County Clean Air Now" non-profit to try and thwart the same conditions Shenango Coke Works was producing on the North Boroughs as U.S.Steel is currently on the Mon Valley residence. We drove to the Clairton Works, and many other surrounding communities to learn how we could help and work together against these giant corporations who have greed at the base of their goals regardless of the damage to human health they cause. I volunteered making calls of the Clairton residence for Clean Air/Water using a phone list provided by Dave Smith. I broke down crying at the overwhelming health costs I was documenting in their families . . . for generations. I embarrassingly had to quit as I could not shake off the pain and suffering I was hearing in their voices. WE are all "little Davids" fighting the good fight against these huge "Golieth" industries who could be spending a better portion of their profits to clean up their daily pollution of our air/water/soils. I spoke last week in our Allegheny Court House against the proposal that FRACKING begun under our parks. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH!! There appears to be no limit to the amount of greed and assaults on our planet. Frustrated [REDACTED] year old lady . . . barbara lee pace</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
23.	6/13/2022	Marcela Gonzale	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Do the right th g</p>
24.	6/17/2022	Dani Wilson	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>At the very very very least, please use all funds received to directly benefit the people who have been harmed. The impact remains to this day - it is unconscionable to re-direct money received because of the burden they carry to other projects.</p> <p>Dani Wilson</p>
25.	6/19/2022	Marla Ferreny	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Thanks for your consideration, Marla Ferreny Swissvale resident</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
26.	6/19/2022	Ruth Fauman-Fichman	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>It is important to me that this consent degree require compliance. I have suffered from the pollution generated by US Steel for way too long. The time is now to make Pittsburgh a cleaner city and hold its polluters accountable for its cleanup. US Steel should pay the fine and continue to be monitored and discontinue its egregious violations of the public health.</p>
27.	6/19/2022	Nancy Bernstein	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Respect fully submitted,</p> <p>Nancy Bernstein, MPH</p>
28.	6/19/2022	Edward chop	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I LIVED IN THIS AREA FOR 73 YEARS, WONDERING HOW U.S. Steel gets away with endangering my health & property. Obviously, they're bed buddies with the political scene.</p> <p>Think of all the people they killed. Go AWAY!!!!</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
29.	6/21/2022	Sarah Stulga	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I frequently cannot use my outdoor space and have to close the windows at night or else I get headaches. We shouldn't have to live like this.</p>
30.	6/21/2022	Jennifer Rick	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>We are tired- tired of not being able to breathe clean air on beautiful sunny days, miles away from your facility. We are tired of asthma and not being able to enjoy the outdoors that we love so much. We are furious about waking up to pollution due to inversion inside our home despite sealing and replacing doors and windows. We are tired of the excuses, the corporate b.s., inability of local government to provide its citizens with clean air and water, and most of all - we are fed up with decreased quality of life and increased health risks... All because US Steel chooses to invest elsewhere while polluting our Pittsburgh neighborhoods and homes. This is absolutely a business decision - one that you could fix yet fail to prioritize as pollution is just the cost of doing business. Unfortunately, it is a price we all are tired of paying.</p> <p>If I could do it all over again, I would not have chosen to move to Pittsburgh over a decade ago and air quality is one of the biggest reasons. I can't even stand to be in my own garden miles away when the wind is blowing your toxicity. Be a good neighbor and the great corporation that built the industry and clean up our air! The communities directly impacted by the pollution and results long-term health risks deserve so much better.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
31.	6/21/2022	Brett Andrews	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I support a much stiffer penalty for the repeated poisoning of the residents of Allegheny County.</p>
32.	6/21/2022	Sarah TIFFANY-APPLETON	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I live in Swissvale and often cannot open my windows due to foul smelling emissions from the steel plant. My quality of life and that of my neighbors would drastically improve with a reduction in emissions.</p>
33.	6/22/2022	Brendon Slotterback	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>US Steel has proven that they are a bad faith actor who will not follow the law unless it is rigorously enforced through fines and monitoring. This decree must guarantee that emissions at the plant go down. It should not just result in more studies.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
34.	6/22/2022	Sandor Szirmae	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>As someone who lives by and is forced to live in a community constantly bombarded with contaminated air it is important that we know exactly what deals are being made between the EPA and these gigantic pollution factories. Our health and quality of life has been put on the back burner while corporations poison our children so that the government and CEOs can brag about job creation. It's time the steel polluters pay their fair share and fix the problems they cause. We want transparency, we want CLEAN AIR at any cost. You cant guarantee us, food, shelter, water or safety the least you can do is tell us the pitiful fines that will be levied and the shady back room pockets it will line.</p>
35.	6/22/2022	Masen lounsbery	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>There is nothing more essential to the sustainment of life than clean air and water. Both of those things are precariously unstable in Pittsburgh. The people who live here deserve to breathe with ease. We need emission reductions NOW! And we need the community members impacted by these emissions to have more control, or at the very least, information, regarding the Edgar Thomson Works and its pollutants.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
36.	6/22/2022	Alex Downing	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>As usual, the total penalty for U.S. Steel's malpractice (\$1.5 million + the cost of maintenance and upgrades) comes nowhere near the profit gained by their violation of the law in the first place. They continue to illegally pollute because the punishments from every level of government has been inadequate for decades. As a repeat offender which has shown no remorse for its crimes, the greatest possible punishment should be passed down, including criminal prosecution of those in charge. Anything short of that is a half measure. Additionally, it is crucial for the SEP to be delayed until adequate public input is received on the proposal. Throwing all of the money from this decree towards a massive project with numerous existing funders can't possibly be the most effective use of funds to protect the community around the Edgar Thomson works. Work with community leaders and advocate groups to hear what residents actually want and what will most directly benefit them and reconsider the SEP.</p> <p>- Alex Downing</p>
37.	6/22/2022	Dorothy Dudley	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Due to me being born and raised in braddock and North braddock..I have [REDACTED]. I AM [REDACTED] now and still having breathing problems..lthat is why I move but still get pullition here..I had a patio set and it was white. Every day I would have to clean a red substance off of it..I know it was from the mills and I have been breathing it in all my live</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
38.	6/23/2022	Lydia Chmill	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Stop poisoning people</p>
39.	6/23/2022	Brady Riedel	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Large polluters have caused so many of us to develop respiratory symptoms, including lifelong asthma. This is especially true for lower income children and those in the Mon Valley. Polluters get away with it by buying their way out every time.</p> <p>Please make sure this is not allowed to continue.</p>
40.	6/23/2022	Noel Rangel	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>As someone who suffers from [REDACTED] I know my ability to breathe is effected by Pittsburgh's poor air quality. Please make a lasting change to help me breathe.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
41.	6/23/2022	Alison Karsh	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Alison Karsh</p>
42.	6/23/2022	Meredith Smith	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>As an [REDACTED], this greatly impacts my quality of life.</p>
43.	6/23/2022	Joshua Telson	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Make them pay</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
44.	6/23/2022	Germaine gooden-patterson	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I reside in the Mon Valley, specifically Clairton. No matter which direction I travel to leave my home, my family and I are inundated with industrial air pollution. Is it too much to ask for health protection for my family and other families. Also I am asking that detailed and complete transparency of the COA be made available so that all impacted communities are not left wondering whether the COA is working in our favor.</p>
45.	6/23/2022	Jacob Abbondanza	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Nope</p>
46.	6/23/2022	Tom Chmill	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Tom Chmill</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
47.	6/23/2022	Benjamin DeEgan	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Na</p>
48.	6/23/2022	Erin Mann	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Erin K Mann</p>
49.	6/23/2022	Elizabeth Rangel	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Elizabeth S. Rangel</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
50.	6/23/2022	NaTisha Washington	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>The communities affected everyday by these violation should be prioritized. Their health has been and is heavily impacted by the constant bad air quality they suffer, especially on really hot or cold days. With risk of ER visit and asthma attacks (especially for youth) it's important that the community is engaged as much as possible with resources that ensure they do not have to continue suffering from these bad air days.</p>
51.	6/23/2022	Andy Homol	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Changes must be made to ensure residents stay in the know. Thank you.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
52.	6/23/2022	Justin Conley	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>DEAR EPA, ACHD, AND U.S. STEEL REPRESENTATIVES. I AM WRITING TO YOU TO EXPRESS MY FEELINGS ABOUT THE PROPOSED CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN U.S. STEEL, THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) AND ALLEGHENY COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT (ACHD) PUBLISHED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON MAY 24, 2022. HERE ARE MY CONCERNS: IT IS NOT CLEAR FROM THE DECREE ITSELF THAT THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS WILL NECESSARILY YIELD ANY EMISSION REDUCTIONS OR OTHER BENEFITS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE. THE COURT SHOULD NOT APPROVE THE COA UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE BENEFITS THAT THEY EXPECT WILL RESULT FROM U.S. STEEL'S FULFILLMENT OF THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS. THE CONSENT ORDER AND AGREEMENT SHOULD NOT BE APPROVED UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE TANGIBLE BENEFITS THAT WILL ACCRUE TO THE COMMUNITIES CLOSELY AFFECTED BY POLLUTION FROM THE EDGAR THOMSON WORKS AS A RESULT OF THE SEP. THE COA FAILS TO DO THIS. THE COA DOES NOT PROVIDE A MECHANISM THAT WOULD ALLOW CITIZENS IN THE COMMUNITIES –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – THAT HAVE BEEN IMPACTED BY THE VIOLATIONS TO EASILY MONITOR THE PARTIES' COMPLIANCE WITH THE COA AND ANY BENEFITS THAT ACCRUE FROM THE COA. U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD SHOULD WORK TOGETHER TO PROVIDE EASILY-ACCESSIBLE UPDATES TO THE WORK BEING DONE PURSUANT TO THE COA.</p>
53.	6/23/2022	Robin Burke	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Robin Burke</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
54.	6/23/2022	Shawn Robinson	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I am tired of our city, our future generations, and our environment being poisoned for the sake of a dying industry. Please stop this. Shawn Robinson.</p>
55.	6/23/2022	Robin Burke	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Robin Burke</p>
56.	6/23/2022	Heather neylon	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>The poor air quality has affected my quality of life in the east end of Pittsburgh, and I trust GASP when they say the proposed consent decree is not adequate at addressing and remediating the harm U.S. STEEL has done.</p> <p>Respectfully, Heather</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
57.	6/23/2022	Kerri Allen	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>US Steel's Edgar Thompson Mill has repeatedly ignored regulation after regulation. They pay the penalty fines as if they are just the price of doing business. Fining them & ordering them to comply with regulations has not historically made any difference in their operations. Their promises cannot be trusted. The only way to curb their outrageous pollution levels is to shut them down for good.</p> <p>Signed, Kerri Allen</p>
58.	6/23/2022	Cody Januszko	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Please help to make Allegheny County, and the United States as a whole, a more environmentally friendly place for all of us to call home.</p> <p>Sincerely, Cody</p>
59.	6/23/2022	Michelle Kenney	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>The proposed legislation is NOT very transparent in the usage of any fine \$. The third party being hired by US Steel to monitor pollution index is just another entity being paid by US Steel. Come into Braddock or Clairton in the evening and see the large grey clouds coming out of US Steel, the properties are all covered with a black film and the health ramifications aren't even being addressed'</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
60.	6/23/2022	Moirin Reynolds	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I work in Braddock and suffer from the poor air quality most days. The public needs to be involved, and changes need to happen that will positively impact the air we breathe and our ability to work and live in Braddock.</p> <p>Moirin Reynolds</p>
61.	6/23/2022	Marita Johnson	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Marita Johnson</p>
62.	6/23/2022	Dillon Jourde	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>The only thing holding this region back from being an absolute gem that attracts the best talent from across the country: it has the air quality of a developing nation. These industries of a bygone era need to seriously invest in more sustainable processes, or feel the bitter end of being left behind.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
63.	6/23/2022	Jordan Romanus	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I grew up with [REDACTED] and it made playing sports really difficult at times. Please do the right thing and help future generations not have to grow up with this terrible air pollution & subsequent health issues. Thank you for your time & consideration.</p>
64.	6/23/2022	Benjamin Congedo	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Benjamin Congedo</p>
65.	6/23/2022	Christine Larson	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>My [REDACTED] year old and [REDACTED] year old have been forced to stay indoors with air filters on so many days because of the illegal and extremely dangerous to young lungs emissions. I have seriously thought about moving my business and my family out of Pittsburgh because of the horrible air quality here. My kids could get cancer or asthma or my husband or I could have heart disease due to this absolutely preventable problem. So many local families have their health impaired by these illegal and unacceptable standards. The consent order and agreement should NOT be approved until the tangible benefits are described and the information is made readily and often available to the public to monitor their compliance. All this human suffering and environmental destruction for profit- at what cost!?</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
66.	6/23/2022	Jonathan Eberle	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>This consent decree is entirely inappropriate, irresponsible, and fails to fulfill the Allegheny County Health Department as well as the environmental protection agency jurisdiction in enforcing Pennsylvanians' constitutional right to breathe clean air. The consent decree fails to uphold Federal legislation that protects citizen's health and welfare by the clean air act. Lastly and importantly this consent decree merely makes a show of effort and contains irregularities and willful negligence on the parts of the EPA and Allegheny County Health Department. For example the suggestion for improvements based upon video camera observation including daylight hours based assessments when historical data proves beyond doubt that The Edgar Thompson works pollutes more by volume at night. The fact that this consent decree does not suggest or rely upon comprehensive and strategic instrumentation that precisely describes the volume of pollution emitted from this known point source offender.. is professional incompetence and politically motivated negligence.</p>
67.	6/23/2022	Jon Wilson	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>As an Edgewood resident, what happens at Edgar Thompson directly effects us on a weekly basis. Please take extra steps to ensure public monitoring, tangible and measurable improvements, and penalties that the company just doesn't shrug off or litigate down. Funds received should also be used only to enforce and expand the county's capabilities to reign in industrial pollution and not go towards civics projects that US Steel can attempt to distract attention from their failures and would still be subject to the same air conditions should the status quo be permitted to continue.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
68.	6/23/2022	Dana Agostinone	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I have developed [REDACTED] in the years since I have moved to the city. The pollution from this plant must go down for the sake of our health in Pittsburgh.</p>
69.	6/23/2022	Fanny Zhao	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>Please bring Pittsburgh's air into the 21st Century. Thank you for your attention and seeking public input.</p>
70.	6/23/2022	Gregory Langmead	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>And based on previous evidence, please assume U.S. Steel is not speaking or negotiating in good faith.</p>

Column1	Date	Name	Comment
71.	6/23/2022	Mark Dixon	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I live in Squirrel Hill South in Pittsburgh and regularly walk along Beechwood Blvd for exercise, from which I can clearly see Edgar Thomson Mill. . Many, many times when I walk along that route I see plumes of smoke emerging from the facility, polluting the air around it. This may have been tolerated in 1950, but in 2022 it is absolutely unacceptable and has been going on far too long. Please do everything in your power to force Edgar Thomson Mill to clean up its act. I have invested thousands of dollars in my home HVAC system to clean my home's air to protect me from frequent plumes of particles (accompanied by horrific sulfurous stench) that emerge from US Steel's Mon Valley Works. Most people probably can't afford to do this. We should have clean air instead. Please act now.</p>
72.	6/23/2022	Lisa Blair	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>We have purchased our own air cleaners for our house to try and combat the pollution smell that infiltrates our house even with the windows closed. It also leaves us waking up in the middle of the night due to the smell and causes sore throats, burning eyes, and headaches. We should not live this way, especially when we don't live THAT close by. Please take action to improve this health and safety hazard. Thank you.</p>
73.	6/23/2022	Dana Agostinone	<p>Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.</p> <p>I have developed [REDACTED] in the years since I have moved to the city. The pollution from this plant must go down for the sake of our health in Pittsburgh.</p>

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:25:21 PM

Name

Amanda B Gillooly

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Thank you.

Tim Crowe

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:26:54 PM

Name

Tim Crowe

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

-Timothy P. Crowe

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:35:32 PM

Name

Alex Taylor

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Allowing continued violations threatens the health of our community. Air quality violations can't be so weak or poorly enforced so that they are a line item on a profit sheet. Fines for air quality must be substantial, punitive, and cost prohibitive. We need to breathe clean air.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:31:04 PM

Name

Jenna Flohr

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Clean air is a right of every human on this planet. US Steel has failed us every time, step up or get out.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:52:34 PM

Name

Jesse Starcher

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

We live smack dab in the middle between 2 US Steel plants that are almost constant polluters. I moved here in 2014. Up to that point in my life, I had lived in 6 different cities in 4 separate states. None of them had pollution levels that were anywhere near what I've experienced living here in the Mon Valley.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 7:22:30 PM

Name

Harry Hochheiser

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. The proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022, is inadequate. The decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will not necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:39:38 PM

Name

Abigail Ault

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

A. Ault

From: [Koch, Ariel \(ENRD\)](#)
To: [Ahearn, Devon \(ENRD\)](#)
Cc: [Dunn, Jason \(ENRD\)](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:46:11 AM

From: GASP Member <info@gasp-pgh.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:45 AM
To: ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES (ENRD) <PENRD3@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.

Name

Robert Havrilla

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I grew up in the immediate vicinity of the former USS Homestead Works and had to endure their pollution on a daily basis so I am aware of that company's reluctance to protect the environment. USS must be required to protect our environment!!

Thank you,
Robert Havrilla

From: [Uanserume, Samuel \(ENRD\)](#)
To: [Dunn, Jason \(ENRD\)](#); [Ahearn, Devon \(ENRD\)](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 5:25:22 PM

Respectfully,

Sam

From: GASP Member <info@gasp-pgh.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 9, 2022 2:24 PM
To: ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES (ENRD) <PENRD3@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.

Name

Nick Milam

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

DEAR EPA, ACHD, AND U.S. STEEL REPRESENTATIVES. I AM WRITING TO YOU TO EXPRESS MY FEELINGS ABOUT THE PROPOSED CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN U.S. STEEL, THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) AND ALLEGHENY COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT (ACHD) PUBLISHED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON MAY 24, 2022. HERE ARE MY CONCERNS: IT IS NOT CLEAR FROM THE DECREE ITSELF THAT THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS WILL NECESSARILY YIELD ANY EMISSION REDUCTIONS OR OTHER BENEFITS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE. THE COURT SHOULD NOT APPROVE THE COA UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE BENEFITS THAT THEY EXPECT WILL RESULT FROM U.S. STEEL'S FULFILLMENT OF THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS. THE CONSENT ORDER AND

From: [Uanserume, Samuel \(ENRD\)](#)
To: [Dunn, Jason \(ENRD\)](#); [Ahearn, Devon \(ENRD\)](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Friday, June 10, 2022 1:00:15 PM

Respectfully,

Sam

From: GASP Member <info@gasp-pgh.org>
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2022 10:39 AM
To: ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES (ENRD) <PENRD3@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.

Name

Elina Zhang

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Elina Zhang

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 16, 2022 2:56:38 PM

Name

Brian MacWhinney

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

This consent decree is full of holes that USS Steel is sure to use to escape from the serious work of cleaning up the Clairton plant. At a minimum there should be strong fence line monitoring the the two oldest coke batteries should be shut down and removed. We should not have the whole county and its helath held hostage to the campny's failure to build a modern unit.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 16, 2022 2:51:10 PM

Name

Nicholas Kyriazi

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Nicholas Kyriazi

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\)](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 16, 2022 2:28:09 PM

Name

Nick Milam

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

DEAR EPA, ACHD, AND U.S. STEEL REPRESENTATIVES. I AM WRITING TO YOU TO EXPRESS MY FEELINGS ABOUT THE PROPOSED CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN U.S. STEEL, THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) AND ALLEGHENY COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT (ACHD) PUBLISHED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON MAY 24, 2022. HERE ARE MY CONCERNS: IT IS NOT CLEAR FROM THE DECREE ITSELF THAT THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS WILL NECESSARILY YIELD ANY EMISSION REDUCTIONS OR OTHER BENEFITS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE. THE COURT SHOULD NOT APPROVE THE COA UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE BENEFITS THAT THEY EXPECT WILL RESULT FROM U.S. STEEL'S FULFILLMENT OF THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS. THE CONSENT ORDER AND AGREEMENT SHOULD NOT BE APPROVED UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE TANGIBLE BENEFITS THAT WILL ACCRUE TO THE COMMUNITIES CLOSELY AFFECTED BY POLLUTION FROM THE EDGAR THOMSON WORKS AS A RESULT OF THE SEP. THE COA FAILS TO DO THIS. THE COA DOES NOT PROVIDE A MECHANISM THAT WOULD ALLOW CITIZENS IN THE COMMUNITIES –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – THAT HAVE BEEN IMPACTED BY THE VIOLATIONS TO EASILY MONITOR THE PARTIES' COMPLIANCE WITH THE COA AND ANY BENEFITS THAT ACCRUE FROM THE COA. U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD SHOULD WORK TOGETHER TO PROVIDE EASILY-ACCESSIBLE UPDATES TO THE WORK BEING DONE PURSUANT TO THE COA.*

AGREEMENT SHOULD NOT BE APPROVED UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE TANGIBLE BENEFITS THAT WILL ACCRUE TO THE COMMUNITIES CLOSELY AFFECTED BY POLLUTION FROM THE EDGAR THOMSON WORKS AS A RESULT OF THE SEP. THE COA FAILS TO DO THIS. THE COA DOES NOT PROVIDE A MECHANISM THAT WOULD ALLOW CITIZENS IN THE COMMUNITIES –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – THAT HAVE BEEN IMPACTED BY THE VIOLATIONS TO EASILY MONITOR THE PARTIES' COMPLIANCE WITH THE COA AND ANY BENEFITS THAT ACCRUE FROM THE COA. U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD SHOULD WORK TOGETHER TO PROVIDE EASILY-ACCESSIBLE UPDATES TO THE WORK BEING DONE PURSUANT TO THE COA.*

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 16, 2022 3:55:39 PM

Name

Ryan Walsh

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Before moving to Pittsburgh in 2016, I have [REDACTED]. I've recorded the times I have needed to use this [REDACTED] and tracked them against scientific data that shows extremely high air pollution emissions from the Edgar Thompson plant and other Mon Valley polluters. Enough is enough. Everyone should have the right to breathe. Please regulate more aggressively.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 16, 2022 5:00:54 PM

Name

Kathleen Krebs

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

EXTREMELY CONCERNED THAT THE COUNTY WOULD RECEIVE A PORTION OF PENALTY FEE.
OUR COUNTY EXECUTIVE HAS DEMONSTRATED A LACK OF SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY,
ENVIRONMENTAL LEADERSHIP AND AN ALL TO FREQUENT ALIGNMENT WITH INDUSTRY.
PLEASE RECONSIDER!

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 16, 2022 4:51:40 PM

Name

Chris Neuwirth

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I am tired of officials charged with safeguarding my and my family's health not ensuring that polluters reduce emissions.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 16, 2022 4:42:16 PM

Name

Jeremy Brewer

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Dead EPA, ACHD, and U.S. STEEL REPRESENTATIVES,

I am writing to you to express my concerns about the proposed consent decree between US Steel, the EPA, and Allegheny County Health Department published for public comment on May 24, 2022:

(1) It is not clear from the decree itself that the compliance requirements will result in emission reductions or benefits to public health. The court should not approve the COA unless there are specific benefits outlined in the COA that are expected as a result of US Steel's compliance. In addition, compliance should be more strict -- it took years to see any progress on this issue at all. If US Steel will not comply with emissions standards, larger fines need to be swiftly enforced.

(2) The proposed use of fines does not benefit the communities most affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson Works. Furthermore, the affected communities have no way to monitor compliance; compliance should be more transparent with frequent updates on progress that are readily accessible to all public parties that are interested.

Thank you,
Jeremy Brewer

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 16, 2022 4:13:12 PM

Name

Robert Havrilla

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Robert Havrilla

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Friday, June 17, 2022 2:01:47 PM

Name

Vikki Zilonis

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

The air quality is so bad here in Homeville, that you cant even keep your windows open. It smells like rotten eggs all the time!

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 16, 2022 5:37:46 PM

Name

BARBARA PACE

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

It's at least ten years that I have been involved with our regions pollution problems. I moved into the Borough of Emsworth which sits across from Neville Island and watched my elderly father who had been dancing in his [REDACTED] drastically deteriorated into [REDACTED]. We Local residents formed "Allegheny County Clean Air Now" non-profit to try and thwart the same conditions Shenango Coke Works was producing on the North Boroughs as U.S.Steel is currently on the Mon Valley residence. We drove to the Clairton Works, and many other surrounding communities to learn how we could help and work together against these giant corporations who have greed at the base of their goals regardless of the damage to human health they cause. I volunteered making calls of the Clairton residence for Clean Air/Water using a phone list provided by Dave Smith. I broke down crying at the overwhelming health costs I was documenting in their families . . . for generations. I embarrassingly had to quit as I could not shake off the pain and suffering I was hearing in their voices. WE are all "little Davids" fighting the good fight against these huge "Golieth" industries who could be spending a better portion of their profits to clean up their daily pollution of our air/water/soils. I spoke last week in our Allegheny Court House against the proposal that FRACKING begun under our parks. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH!! There appears to be no limit to the amount of greed and assaults on our planet. Frustrated [REDACTED] year old lady . . . barbara lee pace

From: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\)](#)
To: [Dunn, Jason \(ENRD\)](#); [Ahearn, Devon \(ENRD\)](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Tuesday, June 14, 2022 10:07:51 AM

Respectfully,

Sam

From: GASP Member <info@gasp-pgh.org>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2022 8:53 PM
To: ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES (ENRD) <PENRD3@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.

Name

Marcela Gonzale

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Do the right th g

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Friday, June 17, 2022 3:35:06 PM

Name

Dani Wilson

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

At the very very very least, please use all funds received to directly benefit the people who have been harmed. The impact remains to this day - it is unconscionable to re-direct money received because of the burden they carry to other projects.

Dani Wilson

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Sunday, June 19, 2022 10:12:19 AM

Name

Marla Ferency

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Thanks for your consideration,
Marla Ferency
Swissvale resident

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Sunday, June 19, 2022 2:36:41 PM

Name

Ruth Fauman-Fichman

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

It is important to me that this consent degree require compliance. I have suffered from the pollution generated by US Steel for way too long. The time is now to make Pittsburgh a cleaner city and hold its polluters accountable for its cleanup. US Steel should pay the fine and continue to be monitored and discontinue its egregious violations of the public health.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Sunday, June 19, 2022 3:03:41 PM

Name

Nancy Bernstein

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Respect fully submitted,

Nancy Bernstein, MPH

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Sunday, June 19, 2022 8:17:43 PM

Name

Edward chop

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I LIVED IN THIS AREA FOR 73 YEARS, WONDERING HOW U.S. Steel gets away with endangering my health & property. Obviously, they're bed buddies with the political scene.
Think of all the people they killed. Go AWAY!!!!

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 8:18:31 PM

Name

Sarah Stulga

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I frequently cannot use my outdoor space and have to close the windows at night or else I get headaches. We shouldn't have to live like this.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 8:44:19 PM

Name

Jennifer Rick

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

We are tired- tired of not being able to breathe clean air on beautiful sunny days, miles away from your facility. We are tired of asthma and not being able to enjoy the outdoors that we love so much. We are furious about waking up to pollution due to inversion inside our home despite sealing and replacing doors and windows. We are tired of the excuses, the corporate b.s., inability of local government to provide its citizens with clean air and water, and most of all - we are fed up with decreased quality of life and increased health risks... All because US Steel chooses to invest elsewhere while polluting our Pittsburgh neighborhoods and homes. This is absolutely a business decision - one that you could fix yet fail to prioritize as pollution is just the cost of doing business. Unfortunately, it is a price we all are tired of paying.

If I could do it all over again, I would not have chosen to move to Pittsburgh over a decade ago and air quality is one of the biggest reasons. I can't even stand to be in my own garden miles away when the wind is blowing your toxicity. Be a good neighbor and the great corporation that built the industry and clean up our air! The communities directly impacted by the pollution and results long-term health risks deserve so much better.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 9:00:53 PM

Name

Brett Andrews

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I support a much stiffer penalty for the repeated poisoning of the residents of Allegheny County.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 9:52:12 PM

Name

Sarah TIFFANY-APPLETON

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I live in Swissvale and often cannot open my windows due to foul smelling emissions from the steel plant. My quality of life and that of my neighbors would drastically improve with a reduction in emissions.

From: [Koch, Ariel \(ENRD\)](#)
To: [Ahearn, Devon \(ENRD\)](#)
Cc: [Dunn, Jason \(ENRD\)](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 8:32:57 AM

Another one.

From: GASP Member <info@gasp-pgh.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 8:31 AM
To: ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES (ENRD) <PENRD3@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.

Name

Brendon Slotterback

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

US Steel has proven that they are a bad faith actor who will not follow the law unless it is rigorously enforced through fines and monitoring. This decree must guarantee that emissions at the plant go down. It should not just result in more studies.

From: [Koch, Ariel \(ENRD\)](#)
To: [Ahearn, Devon \(ENRD\)](#)
Cc: [Dunn, Jason \(ENRD\)](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 9:41:04 AM

Another one.

From: GASP Member <info@gasp-pgh.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 9:40 AM
To: ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES (ENRD) <PENRD3@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.

Name

Sandor Szirmae

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

As someone who lives by and is forced to live in a community constantly bombarded with contaminated air it is important that we know exactly what deals are being made between the EPA and these gigantic pollution factories. Our health and quality of life has been put on the back burner while corporations poison our children so that the government and CEOs can brag about job creation. It's time the steel polluters pay their fair share and fix the problems they cause. We want transparency, we want CLEAN AIR at any cost. You cant guarantee us, food, shelter, water or safety the least you can do is tell us the pitiful fines that will be levied and the shady back room pockets it will line.

From: [Koch, Ariel \(ENRD\)](#)
To: [Ahearn, Devon \(ENRD\)](#)
Cc: [Dunn, Jason \(ENRD\)](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 10:06:39 AM

Another one.

From: GASP Member <info@gasp-pgh.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 9:46 AM
To: ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES (ENRD) <PENRD3@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.

Name

Masen lounsbery

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

There is nothing more essential to the sustainment of life than clean air and water. Both of those things are precariously unstable in Pittsburgh. The people who live here deserve to breathe with ease. We need emission reductions NOW! And we need the community members impacted by these emissions to have more control, or at the very least, information, regarding the Edgar Thomson Works and its pollutants.

From: [Koch, Ariel \(ENRD\)](#)
To: [Ahearn, Devon \(ENRD\)](#)
Cc: [Dunn, Jason \(ENRD\)](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 1:00:47 PM

Another one.

From: GASP Member <info@gasp-pgh.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 12:57 PM
To: ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES (ENRD) <PENRD3@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.

Name

Alex Downing

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

As usual, the total penalty for U.S. Steel's malpractice (\$1.5 million + the cost of maintenance and upgrades) comes nowhere near the profit gained by their violation of the law in the first place. They continue to illegally pollute because the punishments from every level of government has been inadequate for decades. As a repeat offender which has shown no remorse for its crimes, the greatest possible punishment should be passed down, including criminal prosecution of those in charge. Anything short of that is a half measure. Additionally, it is crucial for the SEP to be delayed until adequate public input is received on the proposal. Throwing all of the money from this decree towards a massive project with numerous existing funders can't possibly be the most effective use of funds to protect the community around the Edgar Thomson works. Work with community leaders and advocate groups to hear what residents actually want and what will most directly benefit them and reconsider the SEP.

- Alex Downing

From: [Uanserume, Samuel \(ENRD\)](#)
To: [Ahearn, Devon \(ENRD\)](#); [Dunn, Jason \(ENRD\)](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 5:16:06 PM

Respectfully,

Sam

From: GASP Member <info@gasp-pgh.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 4:32 PM
To: ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES (ENRD) <PENRD3@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.

Name

Dorothy Dudley

Email


[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Due to me being born and raised in braddock and North braddock..I have [REDACTED]. I AM [REDACTED] now and still having breathing problems..Ithat is why I move but still get pullition here..I had a patio set and it was white. Every day I would have to clean a red substance off of it..I know it was from the mills and I have been breathing it in all my live 

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 8:32:14 AM

Name

Lydia Chmill

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Stop poisoning people

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 2:06:13 AM

Name

Brady Riedel

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Large polluters have caused so many of us to develop respiratory symptoms, including lifelong asthma. This is especially true for lower income children and those in the Mon Valley. Polluters get away with it by buying their way out every time.

Please make sure this is not allowed to continue.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 8:03:25 AM

Name

Noel Rangel

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

As someone who suffers from [REDACTED] I know my ability to breathe is effected by Pittsburgh's poor air quality. Please make a lasting change to help me breathe.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 8:19:18 AM

Name

Alison Karsh

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Alison Karsh

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 8:33:58 AM

Name

Meredith Smith

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

As an [REDACTED], this greatly impacts my quality of life.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 8:43:29 AM

Name

Joshua Telson

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Make them pay

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 8:59:07 AM

Name

Germaine gooden-patterson

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I reside in the Mon Valley, specifically Clairton. No matter which direction I travel to leave my home, my family and I are inundated with industrial air pollution. Is it to much to ask for health protection for my family and other families. Also I am asking that detailed and complete transparency of the COA be made available so that all impacted communities are not left wondering whether the COA is working in our favor.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 8:57:47 AM

Name

Jacob Abbondanza

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Nope

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 9:23:54 AM

Name

Tom Chmill

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Tom Chmill

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 9:23:57 AM

Name

Benjamin DeEgan

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Na

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 9:59:52 AM

Name

Erin Mann

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Erin K Mann

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\);](#) [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 10:07:11 AM

Name

Elizabeth Rangel

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Elizabeth S. Rangel

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 1:37:37 PM

Name

NaTisha Washington

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

The communities affected everyday by these violation should be prioritized. Their health has been and is heavily impacted by the constant bad air quality they suffer, especially on really hot or cold days. With risk of ER visit and asthma attacks (especially for youth) it's important that the community is engaged as much as possible with resources that ensure they do not have to continue suffering from these bad air days.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 2:59:38 PM

Name

Andy Homol

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Changes must be made to ensure residents stay in the know. Thank you.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 3:30:59 PM

Name

Justin Conley

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

DEAR EPA, ACHD, AND U.S. STEEL REPRESENTATIVES. I AM WRITING TO YOU TO EXPRESS MY FEELINGS ABOUT THE PROPOSED CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN U.S. STEEL, THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) AND ALLEGHENY COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT (ACHD) PUBLISHED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON MAY 24, 2022. HERE ARE MY CONCERNS: IT IS NOT CLEAR FROM THE DECREE ITSELF THAT THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS WILL NECESSARILY YIELD ANY EMISSION REDUCTIONS OR OTHER BENEFITS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE. THE COURT SHOULD NOT APPROVE THE COA UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE BENEFITS THAT THEY EXPECT WILL RESULT FROM U.S. STEEL'S FULFILLMENT OF THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS. THE CONSENT ORDER AND AGREEMENT SHOULD NOT BE APPROVED UNLESS U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD DESCRIBE THE TANGIBLE BENEFITS THAT WILL ACCRUE TO THE COMMUNITIES CLOSELY AFFECTED BY POLLUTION FROM THE EDGAR THOMSON WORKS AS A RESULT OF THE SEP. THE COA FAILS TO DO THIS. THE COA DOES NOT PROVIDE A MECHANISM THAT WOULD ALLOW CITIZENS IN THE COMMUNITIES –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – THAT HAVE BEEN IMPACTED BY THE VIOLATIONS TO EASILY MONITOR THE PARTIES' COMPLIANCE WITH THE COA AND ANY BENEFITS THAT ACCRUE FROM THE COA. U.S. STEEL, EPA, AND ACHD SHOULD WORK TOGETHER TO PROVIDE EASILY-ACCESSIBLE UPDATES TO THE WORK BEING DONE PURSUANT TO THE COA.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 4:17:00 PM

Name

Robin Burke

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Robin Burke

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 4:12:22 PM

Name

Shawn Robinson

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I am tired of our city, our future generations, and our environment being poisoned for the sake of a dying industry. Please stop this. Shawn Robinson.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 5:02:17 PM

Name

Heather neylon

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

The poor air quality has affected my quality of life in the east end of Pittsburgh, and I trust GASP when they say the proposed consent decree is not adequate at addressing and remediating the harm U.S. STEEL has done.

Respectfully,
Heather

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 6:00:47 PM

Name

Kerri Allen

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

US Steel's Edgar Thompson Mill has repeatedly ignored regulation after regulation. They pay the penalty fines as if they are just the price of doing business. Fining them & ordering them to comply with regulations has not historically made any difference in their operations. Their promises cannot be trusted. The only way to curb their outrageous pollution levels is to shut them down for good.
Signed, Kerri Allen

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 5:30:12 PM

Name

Cody Januszko

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Please help to make Allegheny County, and the United States as a whole, a more environmentally friendly place for all of us to call home.

Sincerely,
Cody

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 5:42:48 PM

Name

Michelle Kenney

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

The proposed legislation is NOT very transparent in the usage of any fine \$. The third party being hired by US Steel to monitor pollution index is just another entity being paid by US Steel. Come into Braddock or Clairton in the evening and see the large grey clouds coming out of US Steel, the properties are all covered with a black film and the health ramifications aren't even being addressed'

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 6:32:24 PM

Name

Moirin Reynolds

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I work in Braddock and suffer from the poor air quality most days. The public needs to be involved, and changes need to happen that will positively impact the air we breathe and our ability to work and live in Braddock.

Moirin Reynolds

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 7:04:31 PM

Name

Marita Johnson

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Marita Johnson

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 7:51:00 PM

Name

Dillon Jourde

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

The only thing holding this region back from being an absolute gem that attracts the best talent from across the country: it has the air quality of a developing nation. These industries of a bygone era need to seriously invest in more sustainable processes, or feel the bitter end of being left behind.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 8:47:05 PM

Name

Jordan Romanus

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I grew up with [REDACTED] and it made playing sports really difficult at times. Please do the right thing and help future generations not have to grow up with this terrible air pollution & subsequent health issues. Thank you for your time & consideration.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 8:50:56 PM

Name

Benjamin Congedo

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Benjamin Congedo

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 8:58:56 PM

Name

Christine Larson

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

My [REDACTED] year old and [REDACTED] year old have been forced to stay indoors with air filters on so many days because of the illegal and extremely dangerous to young lungs emissions. I have seriously thought about moving my business and my family out of Pittsburgh because of the horrible air quality here. My kids could get cancer or asthma or my husband or I could have heart disease due to this absolutely preventable problem. So many local families have their health impaired by these illegal and unacceptable standards. The consent order and agreement should NOT be approved until the tangible benefits are described and the information is made readily and often available to the public to monitor their compliance. All this human suffering and environmental destruction for profit- at what cost!?

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 11:39:11 PM

Name

Jonathan Eberle

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

This consent decree is entirely inappropriate, irresponsible, and fails to fulfill the Allegheny County Health Department as well as the environmental protection agency jurisdiction in enforcing Pennsylvanians' constitutional right to breathe clean air. The consent decree fails to uphold Federal legislation that protects citizen's health and welfare by the clean air act. Lastly and importantly this consent decree merely makes a show of effort and contains irregularities and willful negligence on the parts of the EPA and Allegheny County Health Department. For example the suggestion for improvements based upon video camera observation including daylight hours based assessments when historical data proves beyond doubt that The Edgar Thompson works pollutes more by volume at night. The fact that this consent decree does not suggest or rely upon comprehensive and strategic instrumentation that precisely describes the volume of pollution emitted from this known point source offender.. is professional incompetence and politically motivated negligence.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 10:57:25 PM

Name

Jon Wilson

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

As an Edgewood resident, what happens at Edgar Thompson directly effects us on a weekly basis. Please take extra steps to ensure public monitoring, tangible and measurable improvements, and penalties that the company just doesn't shrug off or litigate down. Funds received should also be used only to enforce and expand the county's capabilities to reign in industrial pollution and not go towards civics projects that US Steel can attempt to distract attention from their failures and would still be subject to the same air conditions should the status quo be permitted to continue.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 10:29:10 PM

Name

Dana Agostinone

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I have developed [REDACTED] in the years since I have moved to the city. The pollution from this plant must go down for the sake of our health in Pittsburgh.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 10:06:12 PM

Name

Fanny Zhao

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

Please bring Pittsburgh's air into the 21st Century. Thank you for your attention and seeking public input.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 9:55:57 PM

Name

Gregory Langmead

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

And based on previous evidence, please assume U.S. Steel is not speaking or negotiating in good faith.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 9:49:46 PM

Name

Mark Dixon

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

I live in Squirrel Hill South in Pittsburgh and regularly walk along Beechwood Blvd for exercise, from which I can clearly see Edgar Thomson Mill. . Many, many times when I walk along that route I see plumes of smoke emerging from the facility, polluting the air around it. This may have been tolerated in 1950, but in 2022 it is absolutely unacceptable and has been going on far too long. Please do everything in your power to force Edgar Thomson Mill to clean up its act. I have invested thousands of dollars in my home HVAC system to clean my home's air to protect me from frequent plumes of particles (accompanied by horrific sulfurous stench) that emerge from US Steel's Mon Valley Works. Most people probably can't afford to do this. We should have clean air instead. Please act now.

From: [GASP Member](#)
To: [ENRD, PUBCOMMENT-EES \(ENRD\); \[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment re: United States & Allegheny County Health Department v. US Steel, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083.
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 9:25:45 PM

Name

Lisa Blair

Email

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

[Map It](#)

Dear EPA, ACHD, and U.S. Steel representatives. I am writing to you to express my feelings about the proposed Consent Decree between U.S. Steel, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) published for public comment on May 24, 2022. Here are my concerns: It is not clear from the decree itself that the Compliance Requirements will necessarily yield any emission reductions or other benefits to the public health and welfare. The Court should not approve the COA unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the benefits that they expect will result from U.S. Steel's fulfillment of the Compliance Requirements. The Consent Order and Agreement should not be approved unless U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD describe the tangible benefits that will accrue to the communities closely affected by pollution from the Edgar Thomson works as a result of the SEP. The COA fails to do this. The COA does not provide a mechanism that would allow citizens in the communities –ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – that have been impacted by the violations to easily monitor the parties' compliance with the COA and any benefits that accrue from the COA. U.S. Steel, EPA, and ACHD should work together to provide easily-accessible updates to the work being done pursuant to the COA.

We have purchased our own air cleaners for our house to try and combat the pollution smell that infiltrates our house even with the windows closed. It also leaves us waking up in the middle of the night due to the smell and causes sore throats, burning eyes, and headaches. We should not live this way, especially when we don't live THAT close by. Please take action to improve this health and safety hazard. Thank you.